UDC 633.63:631.6:631.5 DOI https://doi.org/10.32782/2226-0099.2025.142.2.2

## EVAPOTRANSPIRATION OF THE FIELD DURING CULTIVATION SUGAR BEET DEPENDING ON AGRONOMIC FACTORS IN THE SOUTH OF UKRAINE

Mynkin M.V. – Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor at the Department of Agriculture, Kherson State Agrarian and Economic University

Sugar production to meet domestic needs and exports is an important and urgent issue. In the south of Ukraine, it is possible to increase sugar beet production by growing it on irrigated lands. The purpose of the research was to study the peculiarities of sugar beet field evapotranspiration depending on agronomic factors under irrigation in the south of Ukraine.

The main objectives of our research were to substantiate the water consumption of sugar beet cultivation at different ploughing depths, nutrition backgrounds, sowing dates and planting densities.

The field experiments were conducted in the Kherson region in the Ingulets irrigated area. The soil cover is represented by dark chestnut slightly saline medium loamy soils.

The following factors and their variants were included in the experimental design:

Factor A – ploughing to a depth of 20-22 cm and 28-30 cm

seeding rate of germinating seeds: 6, 9 and 12 million seeds/ha.

Factor B – fertiliser background: no fertiliser, N150P150K60, 40t/ha + manure

N150P150K60, Manure 40 t/ha.

Factor C – sowing dates: the first term – at a soil temperature of 6-8°C at the depth of seed placement (4-5 cm); the second – ten days after the first term, the third – 20 days after the first term;

Factor D – plant density: 90, 110 and 130 thousand/ha

In our experiments, depending on the factors studied, the share of soil moisture in total water consumption ranged from 8.6 to 23.3%, useful precipitation – from 30.8 to -35.7 and irrigation – from 45.6 to 55.7%, i.e. irrigation takes the first place in sugar beet water consumption, precipitation – the second and the smallest share belongs to soil moisture.

When comparing the nutrition backgrounds, it can be noted that the smallest share of soil moisture in sugar beet water consumption was observed in the variants on the background of applying only mineral and organic fertilizers at the third sowing term, and the largest share – on fertilized backgrounds at the first sowing term.

Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that the total water consumption of the field during sugar beet cultivation ranged from 4128 to 5044 m3/ha. These figures were higher in the first sowing term, and the lowest in the third sowing term. The share of participation in water consumption was as follows: irrigation rate – 47.8-54.4%, useful precipitation – 32.4-34.9 and soil moisture – 10.7-19.6%.

**Key words:** Sugar beet, evapotranspiration, soil moisture, useful precipitation, irrigation, agronomic factors.

## Минкін М.В. Евапотранспірація поля при вирощуванні буряків цукрових залежно від агротехнічних факторів в умовах Півдня України

Виробництво цукру для забезпечення внутрішніх потреб і експортних поставок є важливою й актуальною проблемою. На Півдні України збільшити виробництво цукрових буряків можливо за рахунок вирощування їх на зрошуваних землях. Метою досліджень було вивчення особливостей евапотранспірації поля буряку цукрового залежно від агротехнічних факторів при зрошенні в умовах Півдня України.

Основними завданнями наших досліджень було обґрунтувати водоспоживання вирощування цукрових буряків за різних глибин оранки, фонів живлення, строків їх сівби та густоти насадження.

Польові досліди проводилися в Херсонській області в зоні Інгулецького зрошуваного масиву. Грунтовий покрив представлений темно-каштановими слабо солонцевими середньо суглинистими трунтами.

У схему досліду були включені наступні фактори і їх варіанти:

Фактор А – оранка на глибину 20-22см та 28-30 см

норма висіву схожих насінин: 6; 9 і 12 млн. шт / га.

 $\Phi$ актор B – фон живлення: без добрив,  $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$  Гній 40т/га +

 $N_{_{150}}^{_{150}}K_{_{60}}^{_{150}}$  Гній 40 m/га. Фактор C – строки сівби: перший строк – при температурі ґрунту на глибині загортання насіння  $(4-5 \text{ см}) - 6-8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; другий — через десять, третій — через 20 днів після першого строку;

 $\Phi$ актор Д – густота стояння рослин: 90, 110 та 130 тис./га

У наших дослідах залежно від досліджуваних факторів, частка грунтової вологи у сумарному водоспоживанні коливалася від 8,6 до 23,3%, корисних опадів— від 30,8 до -35,7 і зрошення – від 45,6 до 55,7%, тобто перше місце у водоспоживанні цукрових буряків займає зрошення, друге – опади і найменша частка належить ґрунтовій вологі.

При порівнянні фонів живлення можна відмітити, що найменша частка участі у водоспоживанні цукрових буряків грунтової вологи спостерігалась у варіантах на фоні внесення одних мінеральних і органічних добрив за третього строку сівби, а найбільша частка – на удобрених фонах за першого строку сівби.

На основі отриманих результатів можна зробити висновки, що сумарне водоспоживання поля при вирощуванні буряків цукрових коливалось від 4128 до 5044 м³/га. Більш високими ці показники були за першого строку сівби, а найменші – за третього строку сівби. Частка участі у водоспоживанні розташувалася так: зрошувальна норма – 47,8-54.4%, корисні опади — 32,4-34,9 і трунтова волога — 10,7-19,6%.

Ключові слова. Буряки цукрові, евапотранспірація, грунтова волога, корисні опади, зрошення, агротехнічні фактори.

**Statement of the problem.** Sugar production to meet domestic needs and exports is an important and urgent problem. In the south of Ukraine, sugar beet production can be increased by growing it on irrigated land. The objectives of modern agriculture are to make the most productive use of all agricultural land to obtain high, high-quality and sustainable yields, create the necessary conditions for systematic reproduction and improvement of soil fertility, rational use of natural and production resources, taking into account optimization of water and nutrient regimes, soil and environmental protection in general.

Unlike some other crops, sugar beet production is much more dependent on climatic factors. In recent years, sugar beet production has declined significantly due to high temperatures and changes in precipitation, so there is a growing need for additional research to minimize the impact of climate factors. Regardless of the degree of adaptation of agricultural plants to the climate and the level of technology used, annual fluctuations in climate variables determine the level of production.

Statement of the problem. Sugar beet is one of the crops that consume water economically. This phenomenon is explained by their biological characteristics: their transpiration coefficient is 400-500, they have a well-developed root system; a long growing season ensures good use of summer precipitation and photosynthesis is carried out during dry periods and at high temperatures. Despite this, sugar beet is very sensitive to irrigation. They rank first among agricultural crops in terms of payback of irrigation and provide high net profit.

The aim of the research was to study the peculiarities of sugar beet field evapotranspiration depending on agrotechnical factors under irrigation in the south of Ukraine.

The main objectives of our research were to substantiate the water consumption of sugar beet cultivation at different plowing depths, nutrition backgrounds, sowing dates and planting densities.

Field experiments were conducted in the Kherson region in the Ingulets irrigated area. The soil cover is represented by dark chestnut slightly saline medium loamy soils.

The following factors and their variants were included in the experimental design:

Factor A – plowing to a depth of 20-22 cm and 28-30 cm

seeding rate of similar seeds: 6; 9 and 12 million pcs/ha.

Factor B – fertilizer background: no fertilizers, N150P150K60, Manure 40t/ha +N150P150K60, Manure 40 t/ha.

Factor C – sowing dates: the first term – at a soil temperature at the depth of seed placement (4-5 cm) –  $6-8^{\circ}$ C; the second – ten days, the third – 20 days after the first term:

Factor D – plant density: 90, 110 and 130 thousand/ha.

Analysis of recent research and publications. The production of sugar beet roots in Ukraine is insufficient. Irrigation efficiency depends on the sugar beet growing zone. For example, when sugar beet was grown in the steppe zone of Ukraine, the increase in root crop yield was 45.6 t/ha, sugar harvest – 6.59, and in the forest-steppe zone, respectively, 21.0 and 3.30 t/ha [1]. Some scientists believe that irrigation should not be started before the rows close, because the lack of moisture at this time stimulates the growth of the root system in depth. In dry years, irrigation at the rate of 20 mm/ha can contribute to the uniform and accelerated emergence of plants. Also, the same scientists note, the moisture content in the arable layer should not be allowed to fall below the capillary breakdown moisture content (CBMC) for a long time. According to [2], watering should be stopped 15-20 days before harvesting.

Sugar beets require different amounts of water during the growing season. Thus, according to [2], if the entire growing season (from May 15 to October 15) is divided into three equal parts (50 days each), the ratio of water consumption by sugar beet plants for evaporation in each of them is approximately 1:9:3. Scientists of the Institute of Agriculture of the southern region of the UAAS recommend that during the sugar beet growing season 5-7 irrigations with an irrigation rate of 4000-5000 m³/ha in dry years, 4-6 irrigations with an irrigation rate of 3500-4200 in medium dry years, 4-5 irrigations with an irrigation rate of 2300-3500 in medium wet and humid years, 2-3 irrigations with an irrigation rate of 1400-2000 m³/ha, and a water consumption coefficient of 112-115 m³/t.

Gorobets A.M., Pastukh M.O., and others note that under the intensive irrigation regime of sugar beet (70% during the growing season), the irrigation rate is 2900 m³/ha, and under the water-saving regime (70% of the first irrigation period and a 20% reduction in irrigation rates in the second and third periods) it was 2400 m³/ha, the water consumption coefficient, in turn, is 76.8 and 77.6 m³/t, and the yield is 57 and 53.1 t/ha, respectively. In his opinion, the total water consumption of sugar beet was provided by precipitation only by 20%, by 15% – by soil moisture and by 65% – by irrigation water [5].

Fertilizers contribute to a more rational use of water. For the formation of one ton of root crops, depending on the crop rotation, the water consumption coefficient in the variants with fertilizers was 124-129, and without fertilizers – 171-231 m³/t, which is 38-80% more [3,4]. Buts O.V. and Filonenko S.V. believe that the irrigation regime of sugar beet is determined by the background of its nutrition. For example, without irrigation, when 30 t/ha of manure + N100P100K50 were applied, fertilizers did not significantly affect the yield, and the following yield increase was obtained on irrigation variants: at 60% NW – 2 t/ha, at 70% – 3.3 and at 80% NW – 4.8 t/ha. When fertilizer rates were doubled, a similar pattern was observed [6, 7, 8].

**Summary of the main research material.** The results of our research showed that during the cultivation of sugar beet, the total water consumption, depending on the factors studied, ranged from 4128 to 5044 m³/ha (Table 1). The fertilizer background and plowing depth did not significantly affect the total water consumption. This indicator was mainly influenced by the timing of sowing and thickening of plants. The lowest total water consumption in our experiments – 4128-4418 m³/ha – was obtained when growing sugar beet, which was sown in the third term with a plant density of 90 thousand plants per hectare, and the highest – from 4671 to 5044 m³/ha at the first sowing term and plant density of 130 thousand plants per hectare.

Table 1 Evapotranspiration of sugar beet field depending on the studied factors, m³/ha

F42	Cowing deta	Plant density, thousand/ha					
Fertilizer background	Sowing date	90	110	130			
Plowing to a depth of 20-22 cm							
	First	4662	4803	4853			
Without fertilizers	Second	4795	4862	4903			
	Third	4418	4439	4493			
	First	4949	4989	5044			
$N_{150}^{}P_{150}^{}K_{60}^{}$	Second	4736	4794	4836			
	Third	4144	4172	4200			
	First	4876	4890	4965			
Manure $40t/ha + N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$	Second	4455	4525	4586			
	Third	4293	4311	4350			
	First	4614	4684	4735			
Manure 40t/ha	Second	4509	4579	4624			
	Third	4192	4258	4301			
Plov	Plowing to a depth of 28-30 cm						
	First	4637	4685	4721			
Without fertilizers	Second	4873	4936	4966			
	Third	4155	4172	4191			
	First	4651	4716	4755			
$N_{150}^{}P_{150}^{}K_{60}^{}$	Second	4659	4677	4689			
	Third	4128	4232	4165			
	First	4667	4738	4792			
Manure 40t/ha+ N <sub>150</sub> P <sub>150</sub> K <sub>60</sub>	Second	4631	4668	4700			
	Third	4305	4632	4415			
	First	4576	4628	4671			
Manure 40t/ha	Second	4446	4493	4509			
	Third	4201	4137	4177			

In the second term of sowing, depending on the depth of plowing, the total water consumption decreased compared to the first term in the variants of planting density of 90 thousand/ha by 0.8-9.5%, 110 thousand/ha by -0.8-8.1 and 130 thousand/ha by

-1.4-8.3%, in the third term this decrease was, respectively, by 5.5-19.4, 2.3-19.6 and 8.0-14.1%. Comparing the first sowing term with the second, it can be noted that without fertilizers, the total water consumption was higher than in the first sowing term, and on fertilized backgrounds, this figure was higher in the first sowing term.

An increase in the number of plants per hectare from 90 to 110 thousand was observed at almost all sowing dates, it increased the total water consumption, and its growth was even greater when the number of plants per hectare increased from 90 to 130 thousand. In our opinion, this can be explained by the fact that the more plants per hectare, the more they use soil moisture.

Tables 2 and 3 show the calculations of the share of soil moisture, useful precipitation and irrigation in sugar beet water consumption depending on the factors studied.

Table 2
The share of soil moisture, irrigation and useful precipitation in total water consumption depending on the studied factors (on the background of plowing by 20-22 cm)

Carring	Plant	Share	Total water					
Sowing term	density, thousand/ha	soil moisture	useful precipitation	irrigation	consumption, m³/ha			
1	2	3	4	5	6			
		Witl	hout fertilizers					
	90	17,0	33,7	49,3	4662			
First	110	19,4	32,7	47,9	4803			
	130	20,3	32,3	47,4	4853			
	90	20,1	31,9	48,0	4795			
Second	110	21,2	31,5	47,3	4862			
	130	21,9	31,2	46,9	4903			
	90	14,6	33,4	52,0	4418			
Third	110	15,0	33,2	51,8	4439			
	130	16,0	32,8	51,2	4493			
	On the background N <sub>150</sub> P <sub>150</sub> K <sub>60</sub>							
	90	21,8	31,7	46,5	4949			
First	110	22,4	31,5	46,1	4989			
	130	23,3	31,1	45,6	5044			
Second	90	19,1	32,3	48,6	4736			
	110	20,1	31,9	48,0	4794			
	130	20,8	31,6	47,6	4836			
Third	90	8,9	35,6	55,5	4144			
	110	9,5	35,4	55,1	4172			
	130	10,1	35,1	54,8	4200			
On a background of 40 t/ha of manure $+ N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$								
	90	20,6	32,2	47,2	4876			
First	110	20,9	32,1	47,0	4890			
	130	22,1	31,6	46,3	4965			

Закінчення табл. 2

1	2	3	4	5	6		
Second	90	14,1	34,3	51,6	4455		
	110	15,4	33,8	50,8	4525		
	130	16,5	33,4	50,1	4586		
	90	12,1	34.4	53,5	4293		
Third	110	12,4	34,2	53,4	4311		
	130	13,2	33,9	52,9	4350		
On a background of 40 t/ha of manure							
First	90	16,1	34,0	49,9	4614		
	110	17,4	33,5	49,1	4684		
	130	18,3	33,2	48,5	4735		
Second	90	15,1	33,9	51,0	4509		
	110	16,3	33,4	50,3	4579		
	130	17,2	33,1	49,7	4624		
Third	90	9,9	35,2	54,9	4192		
	110	11,4	34,6	54,0	4258		
	130	12,2	34,3	53,5	4301		

In our experiments, depending on the factors studied, the share of soil moisture in total water consumption ranged from 8.6 to 23.3%, useful precipitation – from 30.8 to -35.7 and irrigation – from 45.6 to 55.7%, i.e. irrigation takes the first place in sugar beet water consumption, precipitation – the second and the smallest share belongs to soil moisture.

When comparing fertilization backgrounds, it can be noted that the smallest share of soil moisture in sugar beet water consumption was observed in the variants on the background of applying only mineral and organic fertilizers at the third sowing term, and the largest share – on fertilized backgrounds at the first sowing term.

Table 3
Share of participation in total water consumption of soil moisture, irrigation, useful precipitation depending on the studied factors (on the background of 28-30 cm plowing)

Convince	Plant	Share of participation, %			Total water		
Sowing term	density, thousand/ha	soil moisture	useful precipitation	irrigation	consumption, m³/ha		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
Without fertilizers							
	90	16,5	33,9	49,6	4637		
First	110	17,4	33,5	49,1	4685		
	130	18,0	33,3	48,7	4721		
	90	21,4	31,4	47,2	4873		
Second	110	22,4	31,0	46,6	4936		
	130	22,9	30,8	46,3	4966		

Закінчення табл. 3

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
Третій1109,535,455,141721309,935,254,94191140On the background $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ 1519016,833,849,6465111017,933,248,9471613018,633,048,4475513018,132,849,4465913018,132,749,2467713018,332,649,1468913010,834,954,342321309,435,455,24165Оп a background of 40 t/ha of manure + $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ 11018,333,148,6473813019,232,848,0479213019,232,848,0479213019,232,848,0479213018,032,849,2466813018,532,648,947009012,334,353,44305	1	2	3	4	5	6		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & 130 & 9,9 & 35,2 & 54,9 & 4191 \\ \hline & On the background $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ \\ \hline \\ First & 90 & 16,8 & 33,8 & 49,6 & 4651 \\ \hline & 110 & 17,9 & 33,2 & 48,9 & 4716 \\ \hline & 130 & 18,6 & 33,0 & 48,4 & 4755 \\ \hline & 90 & 17,8 & 32,8 & 49,4 & 4659 \\ \hline & 8econd & 110 & 18,1 & 32,7 & 49,2 & 4677 \\ \hline & 130 & 18,3 & 32,6 & 49,1 & 4689 \\ \hline & Polymore & 90 & 8,6 & 35,7 & 55,7 & 4128 \\ \hline & 110 & 10,8 & 34,9 & 54,3 & 4232 \\ \hline & 130 & 9,4 & 35,4 & 55,2 & 4165 \\ \hline & & & On a background of 40 t/ha of manure + $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ \\ \hline & First & 110 & 18,3 & 33,1 & 48,6 & 4738 \\ \hline & 130 & 19,2 & 32,8 & 48,0 & 4792 \\ \hline & & 90 & 17,3 & 33,0 & 49,7 & 4631 \\ \hline & Second & 110 & 18,0 & 32,8 & 49,2 & 4668 \\ \hline & 130 & 18,5 & 32,6 & 48,9 & 4700 \\ \hline & 90 & 12,3 & 34,3 & 53,4 & 4305 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Третій	90	9,1	35,5	55,4	4155		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline & On the background $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ \\ \hline \\ First & 90 & 16,8 & 33,8 & 49,6 & 4651 \\ \hline & 110 & 17,9 & 33,2 & 48,9 & 4716 \\ \hline & 130 & 18,6 & 33,0 & 48,4 & 4755 \\ \hline \\ Becond & 10 & 18,1 & 32,8 & 49,4 & 4659 \\ \hline \\ Second & 110 & 18,1 & 32,7 & 49,2 & 4677 \\ \hline \\ 130 & 18,3 & 32,6 & 49,1 & 4689 \\ \hline \\ Tperi  & 110 & 10,8 & 34,9 & 54,3 & 4232 \\ \hline \\ Tperi  & 110 & 10,8 & 34,9 & 54,3 & 4232 \\ \hline \\ Tperi  & 110 & 10,8 & 34,9 & 55,2 & 4165 \\ \hline \\ First & 0n a background of 40 t/ha of manure + N_{150}P_{150}K_{60} \hline \\ First & 110 & 18,3 & 33,1 & 48,6 & 4738 \\ \hline \\ 130 & 19,2 & 32,8 & 48,0 & 4792 \\ \hline \\ Second & 110 & 18,0 & 32,8 & 49,2 & 4668 \\ \hline \\ 130 & 18,5 & 32,6 & 48,9 & 4700 \\ \hline \\ 90 & 12,3 & 34,3 & 53,4 & 4305 \\ \hline \end{array}$		110	9,5	35,4	55,1	4172		
First9016,833,849,6465111017,933,248,9471613018,633,048,447559017,832,849,4465911018,132,749,2467713018,332,649,14689908,635,755,7412811010,834,954,342321309,435,455,24165On a background of 40 t/ha of manure + $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ First11018,333,148,6473813019,232,848,047929017,333,049,74631Second11018,032,849,2466813018,532,648,947009012,334,353,44305		130		· ·		4191		
First	On the background N <sub>150</sub> P <sub>150</sub> K <sub>60</sub>							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		90	16,8	33,8	49,6	4651		
Second         90         17,8         32,8         49,4         4659           110         18,1         32,7         49,2         4677           130         18,3         32,6         49,1         4689           90         8,6         35,7         55,7         4128           110         10,8         34,9         54,3         4232           130         9,4         35,4         55,2         4165           First         90         17,1         33,6         49,3         4667           110         18,3         33,1         48,6         4738           130         19,2         32,8         48,0         4792           90         17,3         33,0         49,7         4631           Second         110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305	First	110	17,9	33,2	48,9	4716		
Second         110         18,1         32,7         49,2         4677           130         18,3         32,6         49,1         4689           Третій         90         8,6         35,7         55,7         4128           110         10,8         34,9         54,3         4232           130         9,4         35,4         55,2         4165           First         90         17,1         33,6         49,3         4667           110         18,3         33,1         48,6         4738           130         19,2         32,8         48,0         4792           90         17,3         33,0         49,7         4631           Second         110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305		130	18,6	33,0	48,4	4755		
Третій         130         18,3         32,6         49,1         4689           Третій         90         8,6         35,7         55,7         4128           Третій         110         10,8         34,9         54,3         4232           130         9,4         35,4         55,2         4165           First         90         17,1         33,6         49,3         4667           110         18,3         33,1         48,6         4738           130         19,2         32,8         48,0         4792           90         17,3         33,0         49,7         4631           Second         110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305		90	17,8	32,8	49,4	4659		
Третій         90         8,6         35,7         55,7         4128           110         10,8         34,9         54,3         4232           130         9,4         35,4         55,2         4165           First         90         17,1         33,6         49,3         4667           110         18,3         33,1         48,6         4738           130         19,2         32,8         48,0         4792           90         17,3         33,0         49,7         4631           Second         110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305	Second	110	18,1	32,7	49,2	4677		
Третій $110$ $10,8$ $34,9$ $54,3$ $4232$ $130$ $9,4$ $35,4$ $55,2$ $4165$ On a background of 40 t/ha of manure + $N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$ First $90$ $17,1$ $33,6$ $49,3$ $4667$ First $110$ $18,3$ $33,1$ $48,6$ $4738$ $130$ $19,2$ $32,8$ $48,0$ $4792$ Second $110$ $18,0$ $32,8$ $49,2$ $4668$ $130$ $18,5$ $32,6$ $48,9$ $4700$ $90$ $12,3$ $34,3$ $53,4$ $4305$		130	18,3	32,6	49,1	4689		
First 110 18,3 33,1 48,6 4738 130 19,2 32,8 48,0 4792 90 17,3 33,0 49,7 4631 Second 110 18,0 32,8 49,2 4668 130 18,5 32,6 48,9 4700 90 12,3 34,3 53,4 4305		90	8,6	35,7	55,7	4128		
	Третій	110	10,8	34,9	54,3	4232		
First 90 17,1 33,6 49,3 4667  110 18,3 33,1 48,6 4738  130 19,2 32,8 48,0 4792  90 17,3 33,0 49,7 4631  Second 110 18,0 32,8 49,2 4668  130 18,5 32,6 48,9 4700  90 12,3 34,3 53,4 4305						4165		
First 90 17,1 33,6 49,3 4667  110 18,3 33,1 48,6 4738  130 19,2 32,8 48,0 4792  90 17,3 33,0 49,7 4631  Second 110 18,0 32,8 49,2 4668  130 18,5 32,6 48,9 4700  90 12,3 34,3 53,4 4305		On a b	ackground of	40 t/ha of manur	$e + N_{150}P_{150}K_{60}$			
130     19,2     32,8     48,0     4792       90     17,3     33,0     49,7     4631       Second     110     18,0     32,8     49,2     4668       130     18,5     32,6     48,9     4700       90     12,3     34,3     53,4     4305			17,1			4667		
Second         90         17,3         33,0         49,7         4631           110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305	First	110	18,3	33,1	48,6	4738		
Second         110         18,0         32,8         49,2         4668           130         18,5         32,6         48,9         4700           90         12,3         34,3         53,4         4305		130	19,2	32,8	48,0	4792		
130     18,5     32,6     48,9     4700       90     12,3     34,3     53,4     4305		90	17,3	33,0	49,7	4631		
90 12,3 34,3 53,4 4305	Second	110	18,0	32,8	49,2	4668		
		130	18,5	32,6	48,9	4700		
Третій 110 18,5 31,8 49,7 4632	Третій	90	12,3	34,3	53,4	4305		
		110	18,5	31,8	49,7	4632		
130 14,5 33,4 52,1 4415		130	14,5	33,4	52,1	4415		
On a background of 40 t/ha of manure								
90 15,4 34,3 50,3 4576	First	90	15,4	34,3	50,3	4576		
First 110 16,4 33,9 49,7 4628		110	16,4	33,9	49,7	4628		
130 17,1 33,6 49,3 4671		130	17,1	33,6	49,3	4671		
90 13,9 34,4 51,7 4446	Second	90	13,9	34,4	51,7	4446		
Second 110 14,8 34,1 51,1 4493		110	14,8	34,1	51,1	4493		
130 15,1 33,9 51,0 4509		130	15,1	33,9	51,0	4509		
90 10,1 35,1 54,8 4201	Третій	90	10,1	35,1	54,8	4201		
Третій 110 8,8 35,7 55,5 4137		110	8,8	35,7	55,5	4137		
130 9,6 35,3 55,1 4177		130	9,6	35,3	55,1	4177		

The share of useful precipitation in total water consumption, according to the data obtained, was 30.8-35.7%. Moreover, as can be seen from the table, the highest share of precipitation in total water consumption is observed at the third sowing date and plant density up to 90 thousand/ha, and the lowest – at the first sowing date and plant density up to 130 thousand/ha. In our opinion, higher rates of participation in total water consumption with fewer plants can be explained by the fact that in these variants more

moisture evaporates from the soil surface, and with a higher plant density, the soil is practically covered by the leaves of the crop.

The largest share in sugar beet water consumption is irrigation, which varies from 45.6 to 55.7% depending on the factors studied. The lowest share of irrigation in sugar beet water consumption was obtained in the variants of the first sowing term with a plant density of 130 thousand/ha, and the highest – in the third sowing term and sugar beet thickening to 90 thousand plants per hectare.

**Conclusions**. Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that the total water consumption of the field during the cultivation of sugar beet ranged from 4128 to  $5044 \, \text{m}^3/\text{ha}$ . These figures were higher in the first sowing term, and the lowest in the third sowing term. The share of participation in water consumption was as follows: irrigation rate -47.8-54.4%, useful precipitation -32.4-34.9 and soil moisture -10.7-19.6%.

These studies encourage further research on the evapotranspiration of irrigated crop rotation fields due to changing climatic conditions.

## **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Aboudi, K., Álvarez-Gallego, C. J., and Romero-García, L. I. (2015). Semi-continuous anaerobic co-digestion of sugar beet byproduct and pig manure: effect of the organic loading rate (OLR) on process performance. Bioresour. Technol. 194, 283–290. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.031
- 2. Вожегова Р. Зрошення головний елемент сучасних агротехнологій в умовах Південного Степу України. Вісник аграрної науки. 2019. № 11(800). С. 67–74. URL: https://doi.org/10.31073/agrovisnyk201911-10.
- 3. Минкін М.В. Вплив глибини основного обробітку грунту та фону живлення на урожайність буряку цукрового при зрошенні. ТНВ. Сільськогосподарські науки. Херсон, 2021. Вип. 122. С. 78–85.
- 4. Буць О.В., Філоненко С.В. Особливості технологій вирощування висадків цукрових буряків у виробничих підрозділах буряконасінницького господарства. «Наукові тенденції формування агротехнологій. Полтава. 2019. С. 21–27.
- 5. Горобець А.М., Пастух М.О., Мостьовна Н.А., Герасименко В.В., Цвей Я.П. Вологозабезпечення цукрових буряків і технології їх вирощування в східній частині Лісостепу. Цукрові буряки. 2004. № 5. С. 17–22.
- 6. Минкін М.В., Минкіна Г.О. Вплив глибини оранки та фону живлення на біологічну активність грунту при вирошуванні буряку цукрового в умовах півдня України. *Таврійський науковий вісник*. Сільськогосподарські науки. Херсон, 2024, DOI https://doi.org/10.32782/2226-0099.2024.139.1.19 Вип. 139. С. 146–152.
- 7. Ecologization of Tillage Methods with the Aim of Soil Fertility Improvement / I. O. Yasnolob et al. Ukrainian Journal of Ecology. 2018. 8(2). P. 280–286.
- 8. Минкін М.В. Минкіна Г.О. Вплив системи обробітку грунту та площі живлення на урожайність ріпаку озимого в умовах півдня України ТНВ. Сільсько- господарські науки. Херсон, 2023, Вип. 134. С. 97–102.
- 9. Васильковська К.В., Юрченко К.В. Продуктивність цукрових буряків залежно від способів сівби в Степу України Матеріали 6 міжнародної науково-практичної конференції "Актуальні питання аграрної науки" Уманського НУС 2018. С. 46–48.
- 10. Schlinker G. Stickstoffdüngungzu Zuckerrüben. Zuckerrübe. 2016. № 1. S. 45–48.